Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form						
Application No.: Examiner: Jamie Swa	10/084,856 urtz	First Named Applicant: Art3684 S	Douglas U. Mennie Status of Application: Non-Final			
Tentative Participants:						
(1) William Pegg		(2) Examiner Swartz				
(3) Vince Wiltse (Cur (5) Curtis Hallowell (Cur		(4) John Blake (Cummi	ins Allison)			
Proposed Date of Interview	w: February 1	, 2 or 3 Proposed T	ime: Ope	en Easte	rn Time	
Type of Interview Request	ted:					
(1) Telephonic (2	2) X Personal	(3) Video Confe	erence			
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: X NO						
Issues To Be Discussed						
	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) 103(a) 2	71, 275-280	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470				
103(a) 2 (2) Rejection 2	772	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470 Avnet et al. US 5,291,003				
(3) 103(a) 2 Rejection	773	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470 Mays et al. US 5547062 Ramsey et al. US 5842188				
(4) 103(a) 2	:74	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470 Avnet et al. US 5,291,003 Mays et al. US 5547062 Ramsey et al. US 5842188				
X Continuation Sheet	Attached					
view of Small (US 5513 a monetary value that is self-service machine (co features. Instead, the ci comprises a paper vouc the voucher printer pref Accordingly, Molbak fa	ere rejected under 35 3117 A) in further vie s related to a total value ol. 4, line 59 – col. 5, ited passages of Molb ther on which is printe ferably comprises "a tails to disclose, <i>inter a</i> ige medium of said ca	d: U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatents w of Geib et al. (US 6042470 A) are (col. 6, lines 6-20, col. 2, lines line 2)." These assertions are in ak, and the balance of Molbak, ded certain information (see, e.g., a hermal printing system, laser printing, "selecting a card from a plurard a monetary value that is related	o. Molbak is alleged 30-60)" and "dispactorrect, as Molbak isclose a "voucher" col. 10, line 64 to conting system, inkjet lity of cards that are	d to teach "storing ensing said card fr fails to disclose th dispensing mach ol. 11, line 2, disc system, or the lik not associated with	g to a card from the lesse line that losing that tee").	

13298134.1 247171-000373USD1

Small is alleged to disclose "selecting a card from a plurality of cards that are not associated with any value" (citing col. 6, lines 6-20, col. 2, lines 30-60). However, Small relates to a "automated vending apparatus for simultaneously dispensing personalized greeting cards and electronically vendable gifts" (col. 2, lines 30-31). However, the ability to select a card from a plurality of cards relates merely to selections from a card for a birthday, a wedding, Christmas, etc. (see FIG. 5). The only card having a storage medium to which a value could be stored is a telephone calling card (see FIG. 10). However, FIG. 9 shows that the telephone gift card is to provided to a customer over the counter after the clerk has authorized printing of the greeting card (see also col. 10, lines 12-20). Even were Geib to be accepted to disclose that which is alleged by the Examiner, the combination fails to disclose or suggest each and every element of the claims and the Examiner has not set forth a prima facie case supporting the obviousness of such combination.

Claim 272 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molbak et al. (US 5620079 A) in view of Small (US 5513117 A), Geib et al. (US 6042470 A), and Avnet et al. (US 5291003 A). The Examiner generally cites, in essence, the entirety of Avnet (citing col. 1, line 60 to col. 5, line 40) for disclosing "receiving a credit card in a card module of the self-service machine; accessing, using a communication device, an account associated with the credit card; deducting a specified amount from a line of credit associated with said credit card; and adding to said total value of the bulk coins the specified amount prior to the act of dispensing the card having the storage medium for storing the monetary value that is related to said total value". The Examiner's allegations aside, Avnet fails to make up for the deficiencies of the combination of Molbak, Small, and Geib and fails to disclose or suggest "selecting a card from a plurality of cards that are not associated with any value; storing on a storage medium of said card a monetary value that is related to said total value; and dispensing said card from the self-service machine." Instead, Avent relates to businesses and institutions that "provide food to their employees and guests" that may be purchased from their own vending machines (col. 1, lines 23-30)(not cited by Examiner). Avent discloses only the vending of a single, specific-use card for use with a particular vending machine or group of vending machines.

Claim 273 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molbak et al. (US 5620079 A) in view of Small (US 5513117 A), Geib et al. (US 6042470 A), Mays et al. (US 5547062 A), and Ramsey et al. (US 5842188 A). Neither Mays nor Ramsey make up for the deficiencies of the combination of Molbak, Small, and Geib and the Examiner has not set forth a prima facie case supporting the obviousness of such combination. Further, it is noted that the Examiner's reliance on the Applicant's own disclosure in supporting the assertion that one of "ordinary skill in the art," as opposed to the instant inventors, would have reasonably looked to Ramsey (see page 10 of Office Action).

Claim 274 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molbak et al. (US 5620079 A) in view of Small (US 5513117 A), Geib et al. (US 6042470 A), Mays et al. (US 5547062 A), Ramsey et al. (US 5842188 A), and Avent. At least for the reasons noted above, the combination fails to reasonably disclose or suggest all of the claim elements and the Examiner has not set forth a prima facie case supporting the obviousness of such combination.

n interview was conducted on the above-identified application on			
/William D. PEGG, Reg. # 42,988/			
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature	Examiner/SPE Signature		
William D. Pegg			
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative			
42988			
Registration Number, if applicable			

13298134.1 247171-000373USD1